International Journal of ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Volume 1, Issue 3, 2010 pp.513-532 Journal homepage: www.IJEE.IEEFoundation.org



Solar radiation models - review

M. Jamil Ahmad, G.N. Tiwari

Center for Energy Studies, Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-16, India

Abstract

In the design and study of solar energy, information on solar radiation and its components at a given location is very essential. Solar radiation data are required by solar engineers, architects, agriculturists and hydrologists for many applications such as solar heating, cooking, drying and interior illumination of buildings. For this purpose, in the past, several empirical correlations have been developed in order to estimate the solar radiation around the world. The main objective of this study is to review the global solar radiation models available in the literature. There are several formulae which relate global radiation to other climatic parameters such as sunshine hours, relative humidity and maximum temperature. The most commonly used parameter for estimating global solar radiation is sunshine duration. Sunshine duration can be easily and reliably measured and data are widely available.

Copyright © 2010 International Energy and Environment Foundation - All rights reserved.

Keywords: Solar energy, Solar radiation models, Sunshine hours, Correlation, Review.

1. Introduction

Information of local solar radiation is essential for many applications, including architectural design, solar energy systems and particularly for design. Unfortunately, for many developing countries, solar radiation measurements are not easily available due to the cost and maintenance and calibration requirements of the measuring equipment. Therefore, it is important to elaborate methods to estimate the solar radiation based on readily available meteorological data.

Several empirical models have been developed to calculate global solar radiation using various climatic parameters. These parameters include extraterrestrial radiation, sunshine hours, mean temperature, maximum temperature, soil temperature, relative humidity, number of rainy days, altitude, latitude, total precipitation, cloudiness and evaporation. The most commonly used parameter for estimating global solar radiation is sunshine duration. Sunshine duration can be easily and reliably measured and data are widely available.

The design of a solar energy conversion system needs exact knowledge regarding the availability of global solar radiation. Sunshine hours are measured at many locations around the world, while global radiation is measured at selected locations only. In order to overcome this defectiveness, scientists have developed many empirical equations. Most of the sunshine based this equations built to estimate the monthly average daily global solar radiation are of the modified Angstrom-type equation.

Determination of the solar energy capacity of a region requires that extensive radiation measurements of high quality be made at a large number of stations covering the major climatic zones of the region. In this regard, recently, several empirical formulas using various parameters have been given to estimate the solar radiation around the world. Estimations of the monthly average daily global solar radiation for a large number of locations are presented in various works.

The main objective of this study is to review the global solar radiation models available in the literature, including the study carried out on the estimation of the monthly average daily global solar radiation on horizontal surfaces.

2. Models used

The simple model used to estimate monthly average daily global solar radiation on horizontal surface is the modified form of the Angstrom-type equation. The original Angstrom type regression equation related monthly average daily radiation to clear day radiation at the location in question and average fraction of possible sunshine hours [1]. Page [2] and others have modified the method to base it on extraterrestrial radiation on horizontal surface rather than on clear day radiation [3]:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = a + b \frac{S}{S_o} \tag{1}$$

where H is the monthly average daily global radiation, H_o is the monthly average daily extraterrestrial radiation, S is the monthly average daily hours of bright sunshine (h), S_o is the monthly average day length (h), and a and b are empirical coefficients.

The monthly average daily extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface (H_o) can be computed from the following equation [3]:

$$H_{o} = \frac{24}{\pi} I_{sc} \left[1 + 0.033 \cos \frac{360n}{365} \right] \left[\cos \phi \cos \delta \sin \omega_{s} + \frac{\pi}{180} \omega_{s} \sin \phi \sin \delta \right]$$
(2)

where I_{sc} is the solar constant (=1367 Wm⁻²), ϕ the latitude of the site, δ the solar declination, ω_s the mean sunrise hour angle for the given month and n the number of days of the year starting from first January. The solar declination (δ) and the mean sunrise hour angle (ω_s) can be calculated by Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively [3].

$$\delta = 23.45 \sin\left[\frac{360(284+n)}{365}\right]$$
(3)

$$\omega_{\rm s} = \cos^{-1}(-\tan\phi\tan\delta)$$

For a given month, the maximum possible sunshine duration (monthly average day length, S_o) can be computed by using the following equation [3]:

$$S_o = \frac{2}{15}\omega_s \tag{5}$$

The regression models that have been proposed in the literature are given below.

Model 1: Glover and McCulloch [4] proposed the following equation which depends on ϕ and is valid for $\phi < 60^{\circ}$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.29\cos\phi + 0.52\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(6)

Model 2: Page [2] has given the coefficients of the modified Angstrom-type model, which is believed to be applicable anywhere in the world, as the following:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.23 + 0.48 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(7)

Model 3: Rietveld [5] examined several published values of the a and b from following equations, respectively:

$$a = 0.10 + 0.24 \frac{S}{S_a}$$
(8a)

$$b = 0.38 + 0.08 \frac{S}{S_a}$$
(8b)

ISSN 2076-2895 (Print), ISSN 2076-2909 (Online) ©2010 International Energy & Environment Foundation. All rights reserved.

Model 4: Iqbal [6] used data obtained from three locations in Canada to propose the correlations:

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.791 - 0.635 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(9a)

$$\frac{H_d}{H_o} = 0.163 - 0.478 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.655 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(9b)

where H_d is the monthly average daily diffuse radiation

Model 5: Gariepy [7] has reported that the empirical coefficient a and b are dependent on mean air temperature (T) and the amount of precipitation (P)

$$a = 0.3791 - 0.0041T - 0.0176P$$
(10a)
$$b = 0.4810 - 0.0043T + 0.0097P$$
(10b)

Model 6: Kilic and Ozturk [8] have determined that the coefficients a and b are a function of the solar declination (δ) in addition to both ϕ and Z, as given by the equations [11]:

$$a = 0.103 + 0.000017Z + 0.198\cos(\phi - \delta)$$
(11a)

$$b = 0.533 - 0.165\cos(\phi - \delta) \tag{11b}$$

Model 7: Lewis [9] obtained the following linear regression equation to estimate the daily diffuse radiation for three stations in Zimbabwe:

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.754 - 0.654 \frac{S}{S_o} \tag{12}$$

Model 8: Kholagi et al. [10] derived the following equations from the data measured at three different stations in Yemen:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.191 + 0.571 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(13a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.297 + 0.432 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(13b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.262 + 0.454 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(13c)

Model 9: Dogniaux and Lemoine [11] have also proposed following equation, where the regression coefficients a and b seem to be as a function of ϕ in average and on the monthly base in these equations, respectively.

$$a = 0.37022 - 0.00313\phi$$
(14a)
$$b = 0.32029 + 0.00506\phi$$
(14b)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.34507 - 0.00301\phi) + (0.34572 + 0.00495\phi)\frac{S}{S_0}$$
 for January (14c)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.33459 - 0.00255\,\phi) + (0.35533 + 0.00457\,\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for February}$$
(14d)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.36690 - 0.00303\,\phi) + (0.36377 + 0.00466\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for March}$$
(14e)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.38557 - 0.00334\,\phi) + (0.35802 + 0.00456\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for April}$$
(14f)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.35057 - 0.00245\,\phi) + (0.33550 + 0.00485\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for May}$$
(14g)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.39890 - 0.00327\,\phi) + (0.27292 + 0.00578\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for June}$$
(14h)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.41234 - 0.00369\,\phi) + (0.27004 + 0.00568\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for July}$$
(14i)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.36243 - 0.00269\,\phi) + (0.33162 + 0.00412\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for August}$$
(14j)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.39467 - 0.00338\phi) + (0.27125 + 0.00564\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for September}$$
(14k)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.36213 - 0.00317\,\phi) + (0.31790 + 0.00504\,\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for October}$$
(141)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.36680 - 0.00350\,\phi) + (0.31467 + 0.00523\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for November}$$
(14m)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (0.36262 - 0.00350\,\phi) + (0.30675 + 0.00559\,\phi)\frac{S}{S_0} \text{ for December}$$
(14n)

Model 10: Benson et al. [12] proposed two different equations into two intervals of a year depending on the climatic parameters.

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.18 + 0.60 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for Jan-Mar and Oct-Dec}$$
(15a)
$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.24 + 0.53 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for April- September}$$
(15b)

Model 11: Ogelman et al. [13] have correlated (H/H_o) with (S/S_o) in the form of a second order polynomial equation:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.195 + 0.676 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.142 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(16)

Model 12: Ibrahim [14] obtained the following equations to predict the monthly main daily diffuse radiation in Cairo, Egypt:

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.79 - 0.59 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(17a)

$$\frac{H_d}{H_o} = 0.252 - 0.0001 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.083 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(17b)

Model 13: Bahel et al. [15] suggested the following relationship

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.175 + 0.552 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(18)

Model 14: Zabara [16] proposed monthly a and b values of the modified Angstrom model as a third order function of maximum possible sunshine duration (S) and day length (S_o).

$$a = 0.395 - 1.247 \frac{S}{S_o} + 2.680 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 1.674 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(19a)

$$b = 0.395 + 1.384 \frac{S}{S_o} - 3.249 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 2.055 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(19b)

Model 15: Jain [17] fitted the Angstrom equation using the least square method to the monthly average daily global radiation and the sunshine duration data of 31 Italian locations. The equation using the mean values of these locations is given as follow:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.177 + 0.692 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(20)

Model 16: Alsaad [18] derived the Angstro⁻m-type equation to estimate the monthly average daily global radiation for Amman, Jordan:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.174 + 0.615 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(21)

Model 17: Bahel also [19] developed a worldwide correlation based on bright sunshine hours and global radiation data of 48 stations around the world, with varied meteorological conditions and a wide distribution of geographic locations:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.16 + 0.87 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.61 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.34 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(22)

Model 18: Gopinathan [20] has suggested that the coefficients a and b are a function of (S/S_o) and the altitude of the site (Z), as given by following equations:

$$a = 0.265 + 0.07Z - 0.135 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(23a)

$$b = 0.401 - 0.108Z + 0.325 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(23b)

Model 19: Gopinathan [21] has given the following correlations

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = \left(-0.309 + 0.539\cos\phi - 0.0693Z + 0.290\frac{S}{S_o}\right) + \left(1.527 - 1.027\cos\phi + 0.0926Z - 0.359\frac{S}{S_o}\right)\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(24)
where Z is altitude in kilometres

where Z is altitude in kilometres.

Model 20: Gopinathan [22] obtained the following equations from the experimental data of three stations in India:

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.931 - 0.814 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(25a)

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 1.194 - 0.838 \frac{H}{H_o} - 0.446 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(25b)

Model 21: Jain and Jain [23] used following equation to estimate the global radiation over eight Zambian locations:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.240 + 0.513 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(26)

Model 22: Newland [24] suggested following equation which includes a logarithmic term.

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.34 + 0.40 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.17 \log\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)$$
(27)

Model 23: Soler [25] has given a modified Angstrom-type equation for each month as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.18 + 0.66 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for January} & (28a) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.20 + 0.60 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for February} & (28b) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.22 + 0.58 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for March} & (28c) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.20 + 0.62 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for April} & (28d) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.24 + 0.52 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for May} & (28e) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.24 + 0.53 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for June} & (28f) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.23 + 0.53 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for Juny} & (28g) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.22 + 0.55 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for August} & (28h) \\ \displaystyle \frac{H}{H_o} = 0.20 + 0.59 \, \frac{S}{S_o} \, \mbox{ for September} & (28i) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.19 + 0.60 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for October}$$
(28j)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.17 + 0.66 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for November}$$
(28k)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.18 + 0.65 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for December}$$
(281)

Also, the regression coefficients of a and b was found for the 100 stations given in as follows:

$$a = 0.179 + 0.099 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(28m)

$$b = 0.1640 + 0.1786 \frac{S}{S_o} - 1.0935 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(28n)

Model 24: Luhanga and Andringa [26] derived their own model as follow:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.241 + 0.488 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(29)

Model 25: Raja and Twidell [27, 28] provided the following equations using the data from five main observatories in Pakistan and by taking into account the effect of latitude, ϕ .

$$\frac{H}{H_{a}} = 0.335 + 0.367 \frac{S}{S_{a}}$$
(30a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.388\cos\phi + 0.367\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(30b)

Model 26: Akinoglu and Ecevit [29] obtained the correlation between (H/H_o) and (S/S_o) in a second order polynomial equation for Turkey:

ISSN 2076-2895 (Print), ISSN 2076-2909 (Online) ©2010 International Energy & Environment Foundation. All rights reserved.

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.145 + 0.845 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.280 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(31)

Model 27: Jain [30] explained results of the following linear regression analysis of measured data for the three locations (Salisbury, Bulawayo and Macerata, Italy), respectively:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.313 + 0.474 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(32a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.307 + 0.488 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(32b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.309 + 0.599 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(32c)

Model 28: Samuel [31] expressed the ratio of global to extraterrestrial radiation as a function of the ratio of sunshine duration:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = -0.14 + 2.52 \frac{S}{S_o} - 3.71 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 2.24 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(33)

Model 29: Tasdemiroglu and Sever [32] came up with the following equations for Turkey in general:

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.622 - 0.350 \frac{S}{S_o} \quad for \quad 0.2 \le \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right) \le 0.94 \tag{34}$$

Model 30: Tasdemiroglu and Sever [33] also developed a correlation between (H/H_o) and (S/S_o) in a second order polynomial equation for six locations (Ankara, Antalya, Diyarbakir, Gebze, Izmir and Samsun) of Turkey as follow:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.225 + 0.014 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.001 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(35)

Model 31: Louche et al. [34] suggested the model below to estimate global solar radiation:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.206 + 0.546 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(36)

Model 32: Lewis [35] derived the equations including the linear and three-order polynomial relationships between the monthly average values of (H/H_o) and (S/S_o) for locations in the state of Tennessee, U.S.A. as follows:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.14 + 0.57 \frac{S}{S_o} (37a)$$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.81 - 3.34 \frac{S}{S_o} + 7.38 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 4.51 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(37b)

Model 33: Gopinathan and Soler [36] suggested linear equation for locations with latitudes between 60 N and 70 N:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1538 + 0.7874 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(38a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1961 + 0.7212 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(38b)

Model 34: Veeran and Kumar [37] obtained the following linear relation to estimate the monthly average daily global radiation at two tropical locations (Madras and Kodaikanal, India), respectively:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.34 + 0.32 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(39a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.27 + 0.65 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(39b)

Model 35: Yildiz and Oz [38], using the measured data gathered from five stations located in different places of Turkey, developed the following equation:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.2038 + 0.9236 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.3911 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(40)

Model 36: Tiris et al. [39] also suggested the following correlations

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.2262 + 0.418 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(41a)

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.4177 + 0.0070 \frac{S}{S_o} - 1.9096 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 1.19 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(41b)

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.1426 - 0.119 \frac{S}{S_a} \tag{41c}$$

$$\frac{H_b}{H_o} = 0.0851 - 0.298 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(41d)

$$\frac{H_b}{H} = 0.4428 - 0.1747 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(41e)

Model 37: Aksoy [40], using the data from August 1993 to July 1995 obtained from the Turkish State Meterological Service, developed a quadratic relationship between (H/H_o) and (S/S_o) in order to estimate monthly average global radiation for Ankara, Antalya, Samsun, Konya, Urfa and Izmir, Turkey, as follows:

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.148 + 0.668 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.079 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(42)

Model 38: Tiris and Tiris [41] derived the following equations from the experimental data measured in Gebze, Turkey in the period from January 1984 to December 1992.

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 0.652 - 0.482 \frac{S}{S_o} \quad for \quad 0.23 < \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right) < 0.76 \tag{43}$$

Model 39: Said et al. [42] obtained the following equations to estimate monthly average global and diffuse radiation on a horizontal surface at Tripoli, Libya:

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.215 + 0.527 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(44a)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.1 + 0.874 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.255 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(44b)

$$\frac{H_d}{H} = 1.625 - 3.421 \frac{S}{S_o} + 2.185 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(44c)

Model 40: Ampratwum and Dorvlo [43] suggested the following logarithmic equation for Seeb weather station in Oman:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.6376 + 0.2490 \log\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)$$
(45)

Model 41: Togrul and Onat [44] developed equations to estimate the monthly mean global solar radiation (H) for Elazig, Turkey:

$$H = -1.3876 + 0.518H_o + 2.3064\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(46a)

$$H = 2.765 + 4.9597 \sin \delta + 2.2984 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(46b)

Model 42: Ulgen and Ozbalta [45] proposed the following linear and second degree equations for Izmir-Bornova, Turkey:

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.2424 + 0.5014 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(47a)

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.0959 + 0.9958 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.3922 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(47b)

Model 43: Ertekin and Yaldiz [46] have suggested following polynomial correlation equations for Antalya city of Turkey:

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = -2.4275 + 11.946 \frac{S}{S_o} - 16.745 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 7.9575 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(48)

Model 44: Elagib and Mansell [47] have investigated the possibility of establishing monthly-specific equations for estimating global solar radiation across Sudan. The authors have reported to the best performing equations for each month as given following:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1357 + 0.3204 \phi + 0.0422Z + 0.4947 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for January}$$
(49a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1563 + 0.3166\phi + 0.1006Z + 0.4593\frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for February}$$
(49b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.7727 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^{0.1203} \text{ for March}$$
(49c)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1640 + 0.0397 Z + 0.5773 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for April}$$
(49d)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.0709 + 0.8967 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.2258 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 \text{ for May}$$
(49e)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = -0.0348 + 1.5078 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.8246 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 \text{ for June}$$
(49f)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.3205 + 0.1444 \,\phi + 0.0782h + 0.2916 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for July}$$
(49g)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.2720 + 0.0369 \phi + 0.1017Z + 0.3888 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for August}$$
(49h)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = -0.3710 + 2.5783 \frac{S}{S_o} - 1.6788 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 \text{ for September}$$
(49i)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1593 - 0.1043 \phi + 0.0609Z + 0.5916 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for October}$$
(49j)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1786 + 0.0199Z + 0.5441 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for November}$$
(49k)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1714 + 0.1329 \phi + 0.0482Z + 0.5015 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for December}$$
(491)

Model 45: Chegaar and Chibani [48] have suggested two models for estimating monthly average daily global on a horizontal surface:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.309 + 0.368 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for Algiers and Oran}$$
(50a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.367 + 0.367 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for Beni Abbas}$$
(50b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.233 + 0.591 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for Tamanrasset}$$
(50c)

Model 46: Ulgen and Hepbasli [49] developed the following empirical correlations for the city of Izmir, Turkey, for estimating H.

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.3092 \cos \phi + 0.4931 \frac{S}{S_o} (51a)$$
$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.2408 + 0.3625 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.4597 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 0.3708 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(51b)

Model 47: Togrul and Togrul [50] suggested the following equations which they obtained from the relation between S/S_o and H/H_o by trying different regression types for Ankara, Antalya, Izmir, Yenihisar (Aydın), Yumurtalık (Adana) and Elazıg in Turkey:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.318 + 0.449 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(52a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.698 + 0.2022 \ln\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)$$
(52b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1796 + 0.9813 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.2958 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 0.2657 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(52c)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.3396 e^{0.8985(S/S_0)}$$
(52d)

ISSN 2076-2895 (Print), ISSN 2076-2909 (Online) ©2010 International Energy & Environment Foundation. All rights reserved.

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.7316 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^{0.4146}$$
(52e)

Model 48: Akpabio and Etuk [51] suggested an Angstrom-type correlation equation given as following by using measurements of global solar radiation and sunshine duration data during the period from 1984 to 1999 at Onne (within the rainforest climatic zone of southern Nigeria):

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.23 + 0.38 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(53)

Model 49: Ulgen and Hepbasli [52] suggested the following the linear and third order polynomial equations for Ankara, Istanbul and Izmir in Turkey:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.2671 + 0.4754 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(54a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.2854 + 0.2591 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.6171 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 0.4834 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(54b)

Model 50: Almorox and Hontoria [53] proposed the following exponential equation:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = -0.0271 + 0.3096 \exp\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)$$
(55)

Model 51: Ahmad and Ulfat [54] have suggested to first and second order polynomial equations developed for Karachi of Pakistan. These equations are given as following, respectively:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.324 + 0.405 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(56a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.348 + 0.320 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.070 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(56b)

Model 52: Rensheng et al. [55] suggested a logarithmic relationship between the daily global radiation/daily extraterrestrial solar radiation (H/H_o) and the temperature difference between the maximum and minimum daily air temperature ($T_M - T_m$) as equations given below:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = a \ln(T_M - T_m) + b \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^c + d$$
(57)

where a, b, c and d were empirical coefficients which they gave in their study.

Model 53: Almorox et al. [56] reported the monthly-specific equations for estimating global solar radiation from sunshine hours for Toledo, Spain as given below:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.285 + 0.444 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
 for January (58a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.272 + 0.465 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for February}$$
(58b)

$$\frac{H}{H_{o}} = 0.291 + 0.491 \frac{S}{S_{o}} \text{ for March}$$
(58c)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.266 + 0.495 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
 for April (58d)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.286 + 0.475 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for May}$$
(58e)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.311 + 0.439 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for June}$$
(58f)

$$\frac{H}{H_{o}} = 0.329 + 0.406 \frac{S}{S_{o}} \text{ for July}$$
(58g)

$$\frac{H}{H_{o}} = 0.313 + 0.410 \frac{S}{S_{o}}$$
 for August (58h)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.271 + 0.479 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for September}$$
(58i)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.259 + 0.465 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for October}$$
(58j)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.279 + 0.431 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
 for November (58k)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.282 + 0.428 \frac{S}{S_o} \text{ for December}$$
(581)

Model 54: Tahran and Sari [57] have suggested two models to predict solar radiation over the Central Black Sea Region of Turkey. These quadratic and cubic polynomial models are given as following, respectively:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1874 + 0.8592 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.4764 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(59a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1520 + 1.1334 \frac{S}{S_o} - 1.1126 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.4516 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(59b)

Model 55: Jin et al. [58] have suggested, based on the radiation data and the geographical information including latitude and altitude at all 69 stations in China, nine general equations are given as below:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1332 + 0.6471 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(60a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1404 + 0.6126 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.0351 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(60b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.1275 + 0.7251 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.2299 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.1837 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(60c)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.0855 + 0.0020\phi + 0.030Z + 0.5654\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(60d)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 2.1186 - 2.0014\cos\phi + 0.0304Z + 0.5622\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(60e)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.1094 + 0.0014\phi + 0.0212Z) + (0.5176 + 0.0012\phi + 0.0150Z)\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(60f)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (1.8790 - 1.7516\cos\phi + 0.0205Z) + (1.0819 - 0.5409\cos\phi + 0.0169Z)\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(60g)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.0218 + 0.0033\phi + 0.0443Z) + (0.9979 - 0.0092\phi - 0.0852Z)\frac{S}{S_o}$$

$$+ (-0.5579 + 0.0120\phi + 0.1005Z)\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (4.2510 - 4.1878\cos\phi + 0.0437Z) + (-10.5774 + 11.4512\cos\phi - 0.0832Z)\frac{S}{S_o}$$

$$+ (12.7247 - 13.0994\cos\phi + 0.1000Z)\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(60i)

where H is the monthly average daily global radiation, H_o is the monthly average daily extraterrestrial radiation, S is the actual sunshine duration, S_o is the maximum possible sunshine duration, ϕ is the latitude of the site, Z is the altitude of the site in kilometers.

Model 56: Aras et al. [59] have suggested following linear and polynomial correlation equations to use generally for twelve provinces in the Central Anatolia Region of Turkey:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.3078 + 0.4166 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(61a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.3398 + 0.2868 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.1187 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(61b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.4832 - 0.6161 \frac{S}{S_o} + 1.8932 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 1.0975 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(61c)

Model 57: Rensheng et al. [60] have suggested new equations, basing on the Angstrom model and the Bahel model, by using the daily global radiation data and sunshine hours from 1994 to 1998 at 86 stations in China. These equations are given as following:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.176 + 0.563 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62a)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.122 + 0.001\phi + 2.57 \times 10^{-2}Z) + 0.543\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62b)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.280 - 0.141\cos\phi + 2.60 \times 10^{-2}Z) + 0.542\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62c)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.275 + 4.27 \times 10^{-5} \lambda - 0.141 \cos \phi + 2.63 \times 10^{-2} Z) + 0.542 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62d)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.117 + 4.11 \times 10^{-5} \lambda - 0.001 \phi + 2.59 \times 10^{-2} Z) + 0.543 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62e)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (-0.196\cos\phi + 2.2 \times 10^{-2}Z + 0.329) + (0.097\cos\phi + 6.72 \times 10^{-3}Z + 0.457)\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62f)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.0001\lambda - 0.195\cos\phi + 2.28 \times 10^{-2}Z + 0.313) + (-0.0002\lambda + 0.097\cos\phi + 5.69 \times 10^{-3}Z + 0.476)\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62g)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.0001\lambda + 0.002\phi + 2.27 \times 10^{-2}Z + 0.094) + (-0.0002\lambda - 0.0008\phi + 5.36 \times 10^{-3}Z + 0.586)\frac{S}{S_o}$$
(62h)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.150 + 1.145 \frac{S}{S_o} - 1.474 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.963 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(62i)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.001\phi + 2.41 \times 10^{-2}Z + 0.109) + 1.029\frac{S}{S_o} - 1.216\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.787\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(62j)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (-0.112\cos\phi + 2.43 \times 10^{-2}Z + 0.234) + 1.026\frac{S}{S_o} - 1.209\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.782\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(62k)

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (0.0007\phi + 2.44 \times 10^{-2}Z - 0.005\lambda + 2.24 \times 10^{-5}\lambda^2 + 0.370) + 1.026\frac{S}{S_o} - 1.208\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.783\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3 \quad (621)$$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (-0.087\cos\phi + 2.44 \times 10^{-2} Z - 0.004\lambda + 1.86 \times 10^{-5} \lambda^2 + 0.426) + 1.024 \frac{S}{S_o} - 1.204 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 0.779 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3 \quad (62a)$$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (-0.233\cos\phi + 2.64 \times 10^{-2}Z + 0.336) + (2.140\cos\phi - 0.1Z - 0.670)\frac{S}{S_o} + (-5\cos\phi + 0.3Z + 2.744)\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + (3.042\cos\phi - 0.2Z - 1.638)\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = (-0.141\cos\phi + 2.84 \times 10^{-2}Z - 0.014\lambda + 6.7 \times 10^{-5}\lambda^2 + 1.012) + (1.740\cos\phi - 0.1Z + 0.069\lambda - 0.003\lambda^2 - 4.061)\frac{S}{S_o} + (-3.867\cos\phi + 0.3Z - 0.199\lambda + 0.0009\lambda^2 + 12.402)\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$

$$+ (2.115\cos\phi - 0.2Z + 0.164\lambda - 0.0008\lambda^2 - 9.442)\left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(62m)

where λ , ϕ and Z (in kilometers) are the longitude, latitude and altitude of the used stations.

Model 58: Bakirci [61] has suggested following polynomial correlation equations for Erzurum city of Turkey:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = 0.6307 - 0.7251 \frac{S}{S_o} + 1.2089 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 - 0.4633 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3$$
(63)

Model 59: Bakirci [62] reported equations for estimating global solar radiation from sunshine hours for Erzurum, Turkey as follows:

$$\frac{H_c}{H_o} = 0.6716 + 0.0760 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(64a)

$$c\frac{H_c}{H_o} = 0.5622 + 0.5444 \frac{S}{S_o} - 0.4490 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(64b)

Model 60: Bakirci [63] reported the original Angstrom-type equations including the linear, second-order and fifth-order polynomial relationships between the monthly average values of (H/H_c) and (S/S_o) as follows:

$$\frac{H}{H_c} = 0.7836 - 0.0460 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(65a)

$$\frac{H}{H_c} = 1.0192 - 1.0547 \frac{S}{S_o} + 0.9661 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2$$
(65b)

$$\frac{H}{H_c} = -11.225 + 128.010 \frac{S}{S_o} - 516.900 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^2 + 994.730 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^3 - 920.350 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^4 + 329.93 \left(\frac{S}{S_o}\right)^5 \quad (65c)$$

Model 61: Garg and Garg [64] obtained the following equations from the experimental data of eleven stations in India:

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.3156 + 0.4520 \frac{S}{S_o}$$
(66)

Model 62: The coefficient a and b in Angstrom-type equations are site dependent. They are affected by the optical properties of the cloud cover, ground reflectivity, and average air mass. Hay [65] developed a generalized procedure that takes these factors into account,

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = \frac{0.1572 + 0.5566(S/S_0)}{1 - \rho \left[\rho_a(S/S_0) + \rho_c(1 - S/S_0) \right]}$$
(67a)

Incorporates the ground albedo ρ , cloudless-sky albedo ρ_a and cloud albedo ρ_c . The numerical constants in this equation are obtained assuming $\rho_a = 0.25$ and $\rho_c = 0.6$. $S_0^{'}$ is the modified day length and excludes the fraction during which the solar zenith angle is greater than 85⁰. The modified day length is obtained from

$$S_{0}^{'} = \frac{1}{7.5} \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\cos 85 - \sin \phi \sin \delta_{c}}{\cos \phi \cos \delta_{c}} \right)$$
(67b)

where δ_c is the characteristic declination.

3. Data and methods of comparison

The solar radiation data comprising of monthly mean daily global solar radiation for New Delhi (latitude: 28.58[°] N, longitude: 77.20[°] E, elevation: 216 m above mean sea level) have been collected for the period of 1991-2001 from India Meteorology Department (IMD) Pune, India. These data have been obtained using a thermoelectric pyranometer. The pyranometer used are calibrated once a year with reference to the World Radiometric Reference (WRR). The performance of the models was evaluated on the basis of the following statistical error tests: the mean percentage error (MPE), root mean square error (RMSE) and mean bias error (MBE). These tests are the ones that are applied most commonly in comparing the models of solar radiation estimations. MPE, MBE and RMSE are defined as below:

Mean percentage error: The Mean percentage error is defined as

$$MPE = \frac{\left[\sum \left(H_{i,m} - H_{i,c}\right) / H_{i,m}\right] 100}{N}$$
(68)

where $H_{i,m}$ is the ith measured value, $H_{i,c}$ is the ith calculated value of solar radiation and N is the total number of observations.

Root mean square error: The root mean square error is defined as

$$RMSE = \left\{ \left[\sum \left(H_{i,c} - H_{i,m} \right)^2 \right] / N \right\}^{1/2}$$
(69)

The RMSE is always positive, a zero value is ideal. This test provides information on the short-term performance of the models by allowing a term by term comparison of the actual deviation between the calculated value and the measured value. However a few large errors in the sum can produce a significant increase in RMSE.

Mean bias error: The mean bias error is defined as

$$MBE = \left[\sum (H_{i,c} - H_{i,m})\right] / N$$

This test provides information on the long-term performance. A low MBE is desired. Ideally a zero value of MBE should be obtained. A positive value gives the average amount of over-estimation in the calculated value and vice versa. One drawback of this test is that over-estimation of an individual observation will cancel under-estimation in a separate observation.

(70)

4. Results and discussion

In this study, the regression constants have been generally computed using observations of sunshine hours and monthly average daily global radiation in given a location. In the studies carried out in this field by many authors, the models used to estimate the monthly average daily global solar radiation on a horizontal surface can be categorized in four groups, as seen from Eqs. (6) to (66). These groups consist of the relations derived from the Angstro⁻m-type equations and, the types of the models are given as follows:

Group I (linear models): The models derived from the Angstrom type regression equation was called the linear models because the empirical coefficients a and b were obtained from the results of the first order regression analysis (such as Model 2, 8, 13 and 16). (ii) Group II (polynomial models): Some researcher suggested that the modified Angstrom type relation is a second, three and bigger order polynomial equation to estimate the monthly average daily global radiation on a horizontal surface (such as Model 28, 30, 54 and 60). (iii) Group III (angular models): There are the angular models derived by modifying the original Angstrom-type equation (such as Model 1, 6, 9 and 19). (iv)Group IV (other models): Special cases of the modified Angstrom-type equation were categorized in this group. These cases include a logarithmic term, non-linear model and exponential equation (such as Model 47, 52, 55 and 57). Validation of these 62 models has been performed by using the mean percentage error (MPE), root mean square error (RMSE) and mean bias error (MBE) and the results are given in Table 1. According to the results, Model 19, the Gopinathan model (Eq. (24)) was found as the most accurate model for the prediction of global solar radiation on a horizontal surface for New Delhi. The MPE, RMSE and MBE were 0.23%, 0.22 MJ/m² and 0.01 MJ/m² respectively. This model can be described for New Delhi. After comparing the measured global radiation values with the predicted values at any particular month for validation of the established model, these values laid around the straight line. This means that the generalised model is valid for the geographical and meteorological data of New Delhi.

5. Conclusion

Solar radiation data are essential in the design and study of solar energy conservation devices. In this regard, empirical correlations are developed to estimate the monthly average daily global radiation on a horizontal surface. Sunshine based models are employed for estimation global solar radiation for a location. The correlation equations given in this study will enable the solar energy researcher to use the estimated data with trust because of its fine agreement with the observed data.

The following conclusions may be drawn from the present study:

- 1. Solar energy technologies offer a clean, renewable and domestic energy source, and are essential components of a sustainable energy future. In the design and evaluation of solar energy, information on solar radiation and its components at a given location is needed. In this regard, solar radiation models are of big importance.
- 2. Most of solar radiation models given to estimate the monthly average daily global solar radiation are of the modified Angstrom-type equation.
- 3. It may be concluded that the models presented in this study may be used reasonably well for estimating the solar radiation at a given location and possibly in elsewhere with similar climatic conditions.
- 4. Model 19, the Gopinathan model (Eq. (24)) was found as the most accurate model for the prediction of global solar radiation on a horizontal surface for New Delhi.

Model	MPE	RMSE	MBE
1	7.01	2.04	1.43
2	5.71	2.03	1.33
3	6.37	2.08	1.34
4	3.97	1.52	0.96
5	2.00	1.05	0.15
6	19.34	3.92	3.27
7	7.01	2.04	1.43
8a	6.37	2.08	1.34
8b	5.71	2.03	1.33
8c	3.97	1.52	0.96
9	5.02	2.30	1.32
10	13.34	3.92	3.27
11	33.66	8.05	6.24
12	5.84	1.58	0.73
13	3.75	2.12	1.15
14	5.16	1.96	0.02
15	7.51	2.87	1.82
16	2.00	1.05	0.15
17	13.34	3.92	3.27
18	5.71	2.03	1.33
19	0.23	0.22	0.01
20	5.71	2.03	1.33
21	55.32	9.52	8.67
22	3.59	1.24	0.06
22 23a	5.16	1.96	0.00
23b	3.44	1.63	0.91
230	6.51	2.68	0.37
24 25a	2.00	1.05	0.15
25a 25b	5.16	1.96	0.02
26	2.96	1.63	0.88
20 27a	2.00	1.05	0.00
27b	2.20	1.15	1.15
270 27c	3.44	1.63	0.91
28	7.51	2.87	1.82
29	3.59	1.24	0.06
30	7.01	2.04	1.43
31	8.90	2.23	1.45
32a	6.00	1.44	1.10
32b	4.37	1.68	1.10
320 33a	4.57 3.59	1.08	0.06
33b	5.59 7.01	2.04	1.43
330 34a		2.04 1.06	
	2.00		0.16
34b 35	2.03	1.03	0.18
35 36	5.01	3.22	2.03
	5.02	3.08	2.01
37 38	6.18 6.27	2.12	2.02

2.08

3.17

3.09

3.10

4.33

4.22

4.22

5.22

1.44

1.68

1.24

6.37

5.35

5.17

5.06

6.46

7.14

7.17

8.23

6.00

4.37

3.59

1.42

2.08

2.06

2.07

2.09

2.01

2.03

2.02

1.10

1.05

0.06

38

39a

39b

39c

40

41a

41b

42a

42b

43

44

M. 1 1	MDE	DMCE	MDE
Model 45 a	MPE	RMSE	MBE
45a	2.11	1.10	0.20
45b	2.13	1.12	0.23
45c	2.63	1.24 3.22	1.02
46a 46b	5.40		2.01
46b 47a	3.95	3.43	0.49
47a 47b	2.00 6.14	1.05 2.64	0.15 0.07
470 47c		2.04 5.22	2.01
470 47d	8.23	2.06	0.05
47u 47e	4.20 4.71	2.00	0.03
47e 47f	4.69	2.30	0.04
48	5.06	3.10	2.01
48 49a	5.00 6.46	4.33	2.01
49a 49b	0.40 7.14	4.22	2.03
490 50	7.14	4.23	2.01
50 51a	2.00	1.05	0.15
51a 51b	2.30	1.10	0.10
52	2.30 5.71	2.03	1.33
52	6.37	2.03	1.34
54a	3.97	1.52	0.96
54b	2.00	1.05	0.15
55a	7.01	2.04	1.43
55b	6.37	2.01	1.34
550 55c	5.71	2.00	1.33
550 55d	3.97	1.52	0.96
55e	5.02	2.30	1.32
55f	13.34	3.92	3.27
55g	5.84	1.58	0.73
55h	3.75	2.12	1.15
55i	5.16	1.96	0.02
56a	2.00	1.05	0.15
56b	2.00	1.05	0.15
56c	13.34	3.92	3.27
57a	5.71	2.03	1.33
57b	7.01	2.04	1.43
57c	8.90	2.23	1.68
57d	6.00	1.44	1.10
57e	4.37	1.68	1.05
57f	3.59	1.24	0.06
57g	7.01	2.04	1.43
57h	4.14	2.22	1.01
57i	4.08	2.22	1.03
57j	5.01	3.22	2.01
57k	5.02	3.08	2.04
571	6.18	2.12	2.01
57m	4.37	1.68	1.05
57n	3.59	1.24	0.06
570	5.49	2.49	0.58
58	5.09	3.29	2.04
59a	5.63	3.24	2.02
59b	5.40	3.22	2.01
60a	3.95	3.43	0.49
60b	6.00	1.44	1.10
60c	6.14	2.64	0.07
61	4.37	1.68	1.05
62	4 20	2.06	0.05

Table 1

62

4.20

2.06

0.05

References

- [1] Angstrom A. Solar and terrestrial radiation. Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteorological Society 1924, 50, 121–125.
- [2] Page J.K. The estimation of monthly mean values of daily total short wave radiation on vertical and inclined surface from sunshine records for latitudes 40N–40S. Proceedings of UN Conference on New Sources of Energy 1961, 4(598), 378–390.
- [3] Duffie J.A., Beckman W.A. Solar engineering of thermal processes. New York, Wiley; 1991.
- [4] Glover J., McGulloch J.D.G. The empirical relation between solar radiation and hours of sunshine. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meterological Society 1958, 84, 172–175.
- [5] Rietveld M.R. A new method for estimating the regression coefficients in the formula relating solar radiation to sunshine. Agricultural Meteorology 1978, 19, 243–252.
- [6] Iqbal M. Correlation of average diffuse and beam radiation with hours of bright sunshine. Solar Energy 1979, 23(2),169–73.
- [7] Gariepy J. Estimation of global solar radiation. International Report, Service of Meteorology, Government of Quebec, Canada, 1980.
- [8] Kılıc A., Ozturk A. Solar energy, Kipas, Distribution, Istanbul, 1983.
- [9] Lewis G. Diffuse irradiation over Zimbabwe. Solar Energy 1983, 31(1), 125–128.
- [10] Kholagi A., Ramadan M.R.I., Ali Z.E.H., Fattah Y.A. Global and diffuse solar irradiance in Yemen (Y.A.R). Solar Energy 1983, 31(1), 55–62.
- [11] Dogniaux R., Lemoine M. Classification of radiation sites in terms of different indices of atmospheric transparency. Solar energy research and development in the European Community, Series F, vol. 2. Dordrecht, Holland, Reidel, 1983.
- [12] Benson R.B., Paris M.V., Sherry J.E., Justus C.G. Estimation of daily and monthly direct diffuse and global solar radiation from sunshine duration measurements. Solar Energy 1984, 32(4), 523– 535.
- [13] Ogelman H., Ecevit A., Tasdemiroglu E. A new method for estimating solar radiation from bright sunshine data. Solar Energy 1984, 33, 619–625.
- [14] Ibrahim S.M.A. Diffuse solar radiation in Cairo, Egypt. Energy Conversion and Management 1985, 25(1), 69–72.
- [15] Bahel V., Srinivasan R., Bakhsh H. Solar radiation for Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. Energy 1986, 11, 985–989.
- [16] Zabara K. Estimation of the global solar radiation in Greece. Solar and Wind Technology 1986, 3(4), 267–272.
- [17] Jain P.C. Global irradiation estimation for Italian locations. Solar and Wind Technology 1986, 3(4), 323–328.
- [18] Alsaad M.A. Characteristic distribution of global radiation for Amman, Jordan. Solar and Wind Technology 1990, 7(2/3), 261–266.
- [19] Bahel V., Bakhsh H., Srinivasan R. A correlation for estimation of global solar radiation. Energy 1987, 12, 131–135.
- [20] Gopinathan K.K. A simple method for predicting global solar radiation on a horizontal surface. Solar and Wind Technology 1988, 5, 581–583.
- [21] Gopinathan K.K. A general formula for computing the coefficients of the correlations connecting global solar radiation to sunshine duration. Solar Energy 1988, 41, 499–502.
- [22] Gopinathan K.K. Computing the monthly mean daily diffuse radiation from clearness index and percent possible sunshine. Solar Energy 1988, 41(4), 379–385.
- [23] Jain S., Jain P.C. A comparison of the Angstrom-type correlations and the estimation of monthly average daily global irradiation. Solar Energy 1988, 40(2), 93–98.
- [24] Newland F.J. A study of solar radiation models for the coastal region of South China. Solar Energy 1988, 31, 227–235.
- [25] Soler A. Monthly specific Rietveld's correlations. Solar and Wind Technology 1990, 7, 305–308.
- [26] Luhanga P.V.C., Andringa J. Characteristic of solar radiation at Sebele, Gaborone, Botswana. Solar Energy 1990, 44, 71–81.
- [27] Raja I.A., Twidell J.W. Distribution of global insolation over Pakistan. Solar Energy 1990, 44, 63– 71.
- [28] Raja I.A., Twidell J.W. Diurnal variation of global insolation over five locations in Pakistan. Solar Energy 1990, 44, 73–76.

- [29] Akinoglu B.G., Ecevit A. A further comparison and discussion of sunshine based models to estimate global solar radiation. Solar Energy 1990, 15, 865–872.
- [30] Jain P.C. A model for diffuse and global irradiation on horizontal surface. Solar Energy 1990, 45(5), 301–308.
- [31] Samuel T.D.M.A. Estimation of global radiation for Sri Lanka. Solar Energy 1991, 47, 333–337.
- [32] Tasdemiroglu E., Sever R. Estimation of monthly average daily, horizontal diffuse radiation in Turkey. Energy 1991, 16, 787–790.
- [33] Tasdemiroglu E., Sever R. An improved correlation for estimating solar radiation from bright sunshine data for Turkey. Energy Conversion and Management 1991, 31(6), 599–600.
- [34] Louche A., Notton G., Poggi P., Simonnot G. Correlations for direct normal and global horizontal irradiation on a French Mediterranean site. Solar Energy1991, 46, 261–266.
- [35] Lewis G. An empirical relation for estimating global irradiation for Tennessee. USA Energy Conversion and Management 1992, 33(12), 1097–1099.
- [36] Gopinathan K.K., Soler A. A sunshine dependent global insolation model for latitudes between 60N and 70N. Renewable Energy 1992, 2(4/5), 401–404.
- [37] Veeran P.K., Kumar S. Analysis of monthly average daily global radiation and monthly average sunshine duration at two tropical locations. Renewable Energy 1993, 3(8), 935–939.
- [38] Yildiz M., Oz S. Evaluation of the solar energy potential of Turkey. In: Proceedings of the 6th National Energy Congress, 1994, pp. 250–260.
- [39] Tiris M., Tiris C., Ture I.E. Correlations of monthly-average daily global, diffuse and beam radiations with hours of bright sunshine in Gebze, Turkey. Energy Conversion and Management 1996, 37, 1417–1421.
- [40] Aksoy B. Estimated monthly average global radiation for Turkey and its comparison with observations. Renewable Energy 1997, 10, 625–633.
- [41] Tiris M., Tiris C. Analysis of solar radiation data for Gebze, Turkey. Energy Conversion and Management 1997, 38, 179–186.
- [42] Said R., Mansor M., Abuain T. Estimation of global and diffuse radiation at Tripoli. Renewable Energy 1998, 14(1–4), 221–227.
- [43] Ampratwum D.B., Dorvlo A.S.S. Estimation of solar radiation from the number of sunshine hours. Applied Energy 1999, 63, 161–167.
- [44] Togrul I.T., Onat E. A study for estimating solar radiation in Elazig using geographical and meteorological data. Energy Conversion and Management 1999, 40, 1577–1584.
- [45] Ulgen K., Ozbalta N. Measured and estimated global radiation on horizontal surface for Bornova, Izmir. XII. National Thermal Science and Technical Congress, Izmit, Turkey, 2000, pp. 113–118.
- [46] Ertekin C., Yaldiz O. Comparison of some existing models for estimating global solar radiation for Antalya (Turkey). Energy Conversion and Management 2000, 41, 311–330.
- [47] Elagib A.A., Mansell M.G. New approaches for estimating global solar radiation across Sudan. Energy Conversion and Management 2000, 41, 419–434.
- [48] Chegaar M., Chibani A. Global solar radiation estimation in Algeria. Energy Conversion and Management 2001, 42, 967–973.
- [49] Ulgen K., Hepbasli A. Comparison of solar radiation correlations for Izmir, Turkey. International Journal of Energy Research 2002, 26, 413–430.
- [50] Togrul I.T., Togrul H. Global solar radiation over Turkey: comparison of predicted and measured data. Renew Energy 2002, 25, 55–67.
- [51] Akpabio L.E., Etuk S.E. Relationship between global solar radiation and sunshine duration for Onne, Nigeria. Turkish Journal of Physics 2003, 27, 161–167.
- [52] Ulgen K., Hepbasli A. Solar radiation models. Part 2: Comparison and developing new models. Energy Sources 2004, 26, 521–530.
- [53] Almorox J., Hontoria C. Global solar radiation estimation using sunshine duration in Spain. Energy Conversion and Management 2004, 45, 1529–1535.
- [54] Ahmad F., Ulfat I. Empirical models for the correlation of monthly average daily global solar radiation with hours of sunshine on a horizontal surface at Karachi, Pakistan. Turkish Journal of Physics 2004, 28, 301–307.
- [55] Rensheng C., Ersi K., Jianping Y., Shihua L., Wenzhi Z. Validation of five global radiation models with measured daily tada in China. Energy Conversion and Management 2004, 45, 1759– 1769.

- [56] Almorox J., Benito M., Hontoria C. Estimation of monthly Angstrom–Prescott equation coefficients from measured daily data in Toledo, Spain. Renewable Energy 2005, 30, 931–936.
- [57] Tahran S., Sarı A. Model selection for global and diffuse radiation over the Central Black Sea (CBS) region of Turkey. Energy Conversion and Management 2005, 46, 605–613.
- [58] Jin Z., Yezheng W., Gang Y. General formula for estimation of monthly average daily global solar radiation in China. Energy Conversion and Management 2005, 46, 257–268.
- [59] Aras H., Balli O., Hepbasli A. Global solar radiation Potential. Part 1. Model Development. Energy Sources Part B 2006, 1, 303–315.
- [60] Rensheng C., Shihua L., Ersi K., Jianping Y., Xibin J. Estimating daily global radiation using two types of revised models in China. Energy Conversion and Management 2006, 47, 865–878.
- [61] Bakirci K. Estimation of global solar radiation on horizontal surface. Journal of Thermal Science and Technology 2007, 27(1), 7–11.
- [62] Bakirci K. Estimation of solar radiation by using ASHRAE clear sky model in Erzurum, Turkey. Energy Sources Part A 2009, 31(3), 208–216.
- [63] Bakirci K. Correlations for estimation of solar radiation on horizontal surface. Journal of Energy Engineering 2008, 134(4), 130–134.
- [64] H. P. Garg and S, N. Garg, Correlation of monthlyaverage daily global, diffuse and beam radiation with bright sunshine hours. Energy Conversion. Management 1985, 25, 409-417.
- [65] Hay J.E. Calculation of monthly mean solar radiation for horizontal and inclined surfaces. Solar Energy 1979, 23, 4, 301-307.



M. Jamil Ahmad, born at Ballia (UP), graduated in Mechanical Engineering from Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh in 1991. He did his M.Sc.Engg from Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh in 1993. He is working as a Senior Lecturer in Department of Mechanical Engineering at Aligarh Muslim

He is working as a Senior Lecturer in Department of Mechanical Engineering at Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.

Presently he is pursuing his Ph.D. program under supervision of Prof. G.N.Tiwari at Centre for Energy Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. His research interest is in the field of solar radiation and daylighting and its application.

E-mail address: jamil.amu@gmail.com



G.N. Tiwari born on July 01, 1951 at Adarsh Nagar, Sagerpali, Ballia (UP), India. He had received postgraduate and doctoral degrees in 1972 and 1976, respectively, from Banaras Hindu University (B.H.U.). Over several years since 1977, he has been actively involved in the teaching programme at Centre for Energy Studies, IIT Delhi. His research interests are Solar distillation(water purification), Water/air heating system, Greenhouse technology for agriculture, aquaculture and crop drying, Earth to air heat exchanger, Passive building design and Hybrid photovoltaic thermal systems for greenhouse, solar house and drying. He has guided about 60 Ph.D. students and published over 400 research papers in journals of repute. He has authored twenty books associated with reputed publishers namely Pergaman Press UK, CRC Press USA, Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC), UK, Pira International, UK, Alpha Science, UK, Narosa Publishing House, Anamaya Publisher, New Delhi etc. He is a co-recipient of 'Hariom Ashram Prerit S.S. Bhatnagar' Award in 1982. He has been recognized both at national and

international levels. His contribution for successful implementation of hot water system in the IIT campus has been highly appreciated. He had been to the University of Papua, New Guinea in 1987-1989 as Energy and Environment Expert. He was also a recipient of European Fellow in 1997. He had been to the University of Ulster (U.K.) in 1993. Besides, he had been nominated for IDEA award in the past. He is responsible for development of "Solar Energy Park" at IIT Delhi and Energy Laboratory at University of Papua, New Guinea, Port Moresby. He has organized many QIP (Quality Improvement Program) at IIT Delhi. Professor Tiwari had visited many countries namely Italy, Canada, USA, UK, Australia, Greece, Thailand, Singapore, Sweden,

Hong Kong, PNG and Taiwan etc. for invited talks, chairing international conferences, expert in renewable energy, presenting research papers etc. He has successfully co-coordinated various research projects on Solar distillation, water heating system, Greenhouse technology, hybrid photovoltaic thermal (HPVT) etc. funded by Govt. of India in past. He is an Associate Editor Solar Energy Journal (SEJ), USA (2006- Present) and Int. J. Agricultural Research, USA (2006- Present) and Editorial board member of Int. J. of Energy Research, Canada (2006- Present) and The Open Environment Journal (2007-present). He was organizing secretary 3rd International conference on Solar Radiation and Day Lighting "SOLARIS 2007", at IIT Delhi during February 07 to 09, 2007. Professor Tiwari has also been conferred "Vigyan Ratna" award by Government of Uttar Pradesh in the year 2007 on his work in the area of SOLAR ENERGY APPLICATIONS. Currently he is President of Bag Energy Research Society (BERS-2007) (www.bers.in) form to disseminate energy education in rural areas.

E-mail address: gntiwari@ces.iitd.ac.in